



Cambridge
International

Professional Research Thesis

Titled

**Leadership Strategies for Improving
Team Engagement in Educational
Institutions**

Researcher

Samia Mohamed Anwar Mohamed Hassan

Supervisor signature

2024



SUMMARY

,This study examines the critical role of leadership in fostering resilient adaptive, and high-performing educational institutions. It highlights the effectiveness of transformational, situational, distributed, and adaptive leadership models in creating inclusive, collaborative, and growth-oriented environments. Transformational leadership empowers teachers through vision, motivation, and individualized support, while distributed leadership promotes shared decision-making and accountability. Situational leadership offers flexibility by adapting to the diverse needs of educators and institutions, and adaptive leadership ensures responsiveness to challenges such as policy changes and technological advancements.

The research emphasizes leadership's profound impact on teacher engagement, retention, and well-being. Effective leaders mitigate burnout by addressing stressors like heavy workloads, unclear roles, and limited autonomy. By fostering psychological safety, recognizing contributions and supporting work-life balance, they create a culture where educators feel valued and motivated. Mentorship programs and professional development initiatives further enhance teacher growth, adaptability, and career sustainability, particularly in demanding socio-cultural contexts like the Middle East.

Inclusive and collaborative leadership practices strengthen institutional effectiveness by promoting diversity, reducing barriers, and fostering team cohesion. Open communication and shared goals create unified school cultures that benefit staff and students alike. Leadership also enhances institutional adaptability and long-term success through agile decision-

making, reflective practices, and strategic resource allocation, ensuring equity and relevance in diverse educational settings

Recognition and reward systems, aligned with cultural values, motivate educators, improve retention, and contribute to positive school cultures

Collaborative practices such as professional learning communities (PLCs) amplify engagement and foster continuous improvement. Ultimately effective leadership provides a foundation for sustained institutional growth by addressing systemic challenges and preparing for future demands

By prioritizing engagement, well-being, and adaptability, leaders create supportive environments where educators and students can thrive. This research underscores leadership as a cornerstone for achieving excellence, resilience, and innovation in education, ensuring long-term success for schools and their communities

Introduction:

Educational institutions today face an increasingly complex set of demands, from improving educational outcomes and fostering collaboration to addressing the diverse needs of both students and staff. Leadership is pivotal in meeting these challenges, as leaders play a crucial role in shaping organizational culture, communication, and morale. However, despite its importance, many educational leaders lack the strategies and tools necessary to effectively engage their teams, resulting in low staff morale, high turnover, and disengagement. This, in turn, undermines institutional effectiveness and negatively impacts student outcomes.

Several key issues contribute to this leadership-engagement gap. First, many leaders rely on traditional, hierarchical leadership approaches that fail to address the emotional and motivational needs of educators, leading to disengagement and reduced collaboration. Second, high rates of teacher burnout and turnover, driven by overwhelming workloads and insufficient support, remain persistent challenges that leadership often fails to mitigate. Third, leadership strategies are frequently applied uniformly, neglecting the unique dynamics of different educational settings, which exacerbates disengagement and disconnect among staff. Lastly, disengaged teams hinder organizational effectiveness, reducing communication, innovation, and productivity while contributing to diminished job satisfaction and performance.

This study seeks to address these challenges by exploring the critical role of leadership in fostering team engagement within educational institutions. Leadership strategies, such as transformational, situational, distributed,

and adaptive models, offer promising frameworks for improving engagement, reducing burnout, and enhancing institutional effectiveness. By focusing on tailored approaches that meet the specific needs of diverse educational environments, this research aims to provide actionable insights into the leadership practices that drive positive outcomes for educators and students alike.

The Study Problem:

Educational institutions face increasing demands to improve outcomes, foster collaboration, and accommodate the diverse needs of students and staff. Strong leadership plays a pivotal role in driving the success of these organizations, as leaders shape the environment for organizational culture, communication, and morale. However, many educational establishments seek support in maintaining high levels of staff engagement, which is essential for fostering teamwork, reducing turnover, and achieving educational goals.

Despite the importance of leadership in promoting team engagement, many leaders in educational settings lack the effective strategies or tools to foster an engaged, motivated workforce. This results in low morale, high turnover, and disengaged staff, which directly impacts student outcomes and institutional effectiveness. Specifically, several key issues contribute to the problem:

1. Leadership-Engagement Gap: Research shows a strong correlation between leadership styles and team engagement. However, many educational leaders continue to rely on traditional, hierarchical approaches that fail to address the emotional and motivational needs of educators. These outdated approaches can hinder staff engagement, leading to a lack of collaboration, innovation, and satisfaction within the team.

2. High Turnover and Burnout: One of the most pressing issues in educational institutions is teacher burnout and turnover. Educational staff often experience overwhelming workloads, inadequate support, and stressful environments, which lead to disengagement. Leadership plays a critical role in either mitigating or exacerbating these issues. Leaders who

do not prioritize staff well-being and engagement contribute to higher rates of turnover and burnout, further undermining team effectiveness.

3. Lack of Tailored Leadership Approaches: Different educational institutions (e.g., K-12 schools, universities) face unique challenges. However, leadership strategies are often applied uniformly, without taking into account the distinct needs and dynamics of each institution. As a result, many schools and universities experience disengaged staff who feel disconnected from the institution's goals and vision. There is a significant gap in understanding how leadership strategies can be customized to enhance engagement in diverse educational settings.

4. Impact on Organizational Effectiveness: The lack of effective leadership strategies for improving engagement has long-term implications for the overall success of educational institutions. Disengaged teams often lead to poor communication, low productivity, and a lack of innovation, which directly impacts the quality of education delivered to students. Furthermore, disengaged staff are more likely to leave their positions, contributing to the high turnover rates that plague many schools and universities.

When team engagement is low, educational institutions suffer from diminished collaboration and weakened organizational culture, leading to lower job satisfaction, decreased performance, and ultimately, a negative impact on student learning. The inability of educational leaders to effectively address these issues exacerbates the problem, creating a cycle of disengagement and turnover that is difficult to break. Without targeted leadership strategies, educational institutions will continue to face challenges in retaining motivated and committed staff.

The Importance of Studying:

The significance of this research lies in its focus on the development and application of leadership strategies that improve team engagement in educational institutions. In today's complex educational environment, the need for effective leadership that fosters engagement, collaboration, and motivation among staff is more critical than ever. This study aims to address key gaps in both the theoretical understanding and practical application of leadership strategies tailored specifically for educational settings.

1. Enhancing Organizational Performance: Team engagement is closely tied to organizational performance in educational institutions. Engaged teams are more productive, innovative, and resilient, directly contributing to higher-quality educational outcomes. Research shows that when leaders effectively engage their teams, schools and universities experience better collaboration, improved teacher retention, and higher student achievement. Engaged educators are more likely to go beyond their basic duties, invest in the institution's vision, and foster positive learning environments.

2. Reducing Teacher Burnout and Turnover: Teacher burnout is a major issue in education, particularly in high-pressure environments. Studies have shown that high workloads, limited resources, and lack of support from leadership contribute significantly to teacher burnout and turnover. Leaders who fail to address these challenges risk creating disengaged teams, which in turn affects student learning and institutional stability. By exploring leadership strategies such as transformational and situational leadership, this study aims to identify ways to reduce teacher burnout, improve well-being, and retain talented educators.

3. Addressing the Unique Needs of Educational Institutions: Unlike many other industries, educational institutions face unique challenges when it comes to leadership and team engagement. Schools and universities are often influenced by complex factors such as policy changes, student diversity, and technological advancements. Many traditional leadership models are not well-suited to these complexities, and there is a gap in research regarding how leadership can be tailored to meet the specific needs of educational teams.

4. Supporting Educational Reform and Change Management: The educational sector is continually evolving, with increasing demands for reform and innovation. Leadership is central to navigating these changes, particularly when it comes to building engaged teams that can adapt to new policies, technologies, and teaching methods. Leadership strategies that foster engagement are essential for managing change effectively, as engaged teams are more flexible and open to adopting new practices.

5. Bridging the Leadership-Engagement Gap: Although leadership is widely acknowledged as a key driver of team engagement, many educational institutions struggle to implement leadership strategies that directly improve engagement levels. The gap between leadership behaviors and team engagement is often due to a lack of understanding of how specific leadership styles, such as situational or transformational leadership, can influence staff motivation and satisfaction.

Objectives of The Study:

1. Analyze the various leadership styles employed in educational institutions and their impact on staff engagement, collaboration, and overall institutional effectiveness.
2. Identify and evaluate effective leadership strategies that promote team engagement and motivation among educational staff, with a focus on transformational and situational leadership models.
3. Assess the relationship between staff engagement and key educational outcomes, including teacher retention, and organizational performance.
4. Develop tailored leadership that align with the specific needs and dynamics of various educational settings, thereby enhancing engagement and collaboration.
5. Investigate the role of leadership in addressing issues of teacher burnout and turnover, and to identify strategies that promote staff well-being and retention.
6. Provide practical recommendations for educational leaders aimed at improving staff engagement, fostering a positive organizational culture, and ultimately enhancing the quality of education delivered.

By achieving these objectives, the study aims to illuminate the critical role of leadership in shaping team engagement within educational institutions and to offer valuable insights that can inform future leadership practices.

Study Hypotheses and Questions.

1. Tailored leadership approaches that consider the specific needs and dynamics of various educational settings lead to greater staff engagement and collaboration compared to generic leadership strategies.
2. Effective leadership practices that prioritize addressing issues of teacher burnout and turnover are associated with lower rates of attrition and enhanced staff well-being.
3. What leadership styles are most commonly employed in educational institutions, and how do these styles impact staff engagement, collaboration, and overall institutional effectiveness?
4. Which specific leadership strategies have been identified as effective in promoting team engagement and motivation among educational staff, particularly within the frameworks of transformational and situational leadership?
5. What is the nature of the relationship between staff engagement and key educational outcomes, particularly regarding teacher retention and organizational performance?

Study Approach.

The qualitative approach was used in this study.

The limits of the study:

Spatial boundaries: Educational insinuations in Middle East

Time limits: 2024-2034

Study plan:

Introductory Chapter: Theoretical Framework and Scientific Concepts

Section One: Leadership Theories in Educational Institutions

1.1 Transformational Leadership

1.2 Situational Leadership

1.3 Distributed Leadership

Section 2: Scientific Concepts Related to Staff Engagement

2.1 Definition and Dimensions of Staff Engagement

2.2 Leadership's Role in Enhancing Engagement

2.3 Connection Between Engagement and Collaboration

Section 3: Scientific Concepts Related to Institutional Effectiveness

3.1 Leadership's Influence on Institutional Outcome

3.2 Staff Engagement as a Driver of Effectiveness

3.3 Role of Leadership in Institutional Adaptability

Chapter One: Leadership Strategies for Enhancing Staff Engagement and Motivation

Section 1: Transformational Leadership Strategies

- 1.1 Promoting a Shared Vision
- 1.2 Encouraging Professional Development
- 1.3 Building Trust and Empowerment

Section 2: Situational Leadership Strategies

- 2.1 Flexibility in Leadership Approach
- 2.2 Coaching and Mentoring
- 2.3 Adapting to Institutional Challenges

Section 3: Tailored Leadership Approaches

- 3.1 Addressing Diverse Educational Needs
- 3.2 Promoting Inclusivity and Collaboration
- 3.3 Developing Context-Specific Leadership Models

Chapter Two: Leadership and Staff Well-Being – Addressing Burnout and Retention

Section 1: Leadership and Teacher Burnout

- 1.1 Understanding Teacher Burnout
- 1.2 The Role of Leadership in Preventing Burnout
- 1.3 Creating a Positive Work Environment

Section 2: Leadership and Teacher Retention

- 2.1 Impact of Supportive Leadership on Retention
- 2.2 Developing Mentorship Programs
- 2.3 Long-Term Retention Strategies

Section 3: Practical Recommendations for Leadership

- 3.1 Fostering a Collaborative School Culture
- 3.2 Implementing Recognition and Reward Systems
- 3.3 Promoting Staff Well-Being Initiatives

Conclusion.

In conclusion, leadership plays a decisive role in fostering resilient, adaptive, and high-performing educational institutions. This study underscores the significance of transformational, situational, distributed, and adaptive leadership models in creating inclusive, collaborative, and growth-oriented environments. Transformational leadership fosters vision, motivation, and individualized support, driving educational excellence by empowering teachers to innovate and collaborate. Distributed leadership, on the other hand, promotes shared decision-making and accountability, leveraging the collective strengths of staff to adapt to modern educational demands. Situational leadership offers flexibility by tailoring strategies to meet the diverse needs of teachers and institutions, while adaptive leadership ensures responsiveness to evolving challenges, such as policy changes and technological advancements.

The research emphasizes the profound impact of leadership on key areas like teacher engagement, retention, and well-being. Effective leaders reduce teacher burnout by addressing stressors such as heavy workloads, unclear roles, and insufficient autonomy. By fostering psychological safety, recognizing contributions, and supporting work-life balance, they create a culture where educators feel valued and motivated. Furthermore, mentorship programs and professional development initiatives empower teachers to grow, adapt to challenges, and build sustainable careers. These strategies are particularly critical in regions like the Middle East, where socio-cultural expectations and large class sizes intensify the demands on educators.

Inclusive and collaborative leadership further enhances institutional effectiveness by promoting diversity, reducing barriers, and strengthening team cohesion. By encouraging open communication and shared goals, leaders foster a unified school culture that prioritizes emotional well-being and collective success. This approach benefits both staff and students, nurturing a supportive and productive environment.

Leadership also directly influences institutional adaptability and long-term success. Agile decision-making, reflective practices, and strategic resource allocation equip schools to respond to change while maintaining stability. Tailored leadership approaches address the specific cultural, economic, and social contexts of diverse school communities, ensuring that all students have equitable access to resources and opportunities. Such strategies not only improve educational outcomes but also enhance job satisfaction and professional growth among teachers.

Recognition and reward systems, aligned with cultural values, further contribute to positive school cultures by motivating educators and enhancing retention. Schools that prioritize fairness, transparency, and ethical principles build trust, encourage innovation, and maintain a reputation for integrity. Additionally, collaborative practices, such as professional learning communities (PLCs) and team-based problem-solving frameworks, amplify engagement and foster continuous improvement.

Ultimately, effective leadership establishes a foundation for long-term institutional growth by addressing systemic challenges and preparing for future demands. Leaders who prioritize engagement, well-being, and adaptability create environments where teachers feel connected, supported, and empowered to thrive. By aligning leadership strategies with the needs

of educators and the goals of institutions, schools can achieve sustained excellence, resilience, and innovation, ensuring success for both teachers and students. Leadership, as highlighted in this research, is not just a catalyst for immediate improvements but a cornerstone for building thriving, future-ready educational institutions.

Results.

- Tailored leadership strategies that adapt to specific institutional needs improve staff engagement and collaboration, as supported by situational and adaptive leadership models. These approaches are more effective than generic strategies in fostering a cohesive and motivated workforce.
- Effective leadership practices, including workload management, clear role definitions, and fostering psychological safety, directly reduce teacher burnout and turnover. Recognition and professional development further enhance staff well-being and retention.
- Transformational, situational, distributed, and adaptive leadership styles are frequently employed in educational institutions. Each style positively impacts engagement, collaboration, and institutional effectiveness by fostering inclusivity, adaptability, and shared accountability.
- Leadership strategies under transformational and situational frameworks, such as vision-setting, individualized support, and flexible guidance, are effective in boosting team engagement and motivation. Mentorship and professional learning communities further amplify these effects.
- Enhanced staff engagement improves teacher retention and organizational performance by fostering collaboration, inclusivity, and continuous improvement. Institutions with engaged staff demonstrate greater adaptability and resilience in meeting educational goals.

Recommendations:

- Train leaders in situational and adaptive leadership to meet the unique needs of diverse educational contexts. This ensures that strategies align with specific institutional dynamics, fostering greater engagement and collaboration.
- Implement initiatives like workload management, role clarity, psychological safety measures, and recognition systems to reduce burnout and turnover. Provide ongoing mentorship and professional development to sustain well-being and job satisfaction.
- Encourage transformational leadership to build vision and motivation, and distributed leadership to enhance shared decision-making and accountability. Integrate adaptive and situational leadership to ensure responsiveness to change and diversity in staff needs.
- Leverage strategies like individualized support, vision alignment, and flexible guidance from transformational and situational leadership models. Incorporate collaborative practices, such as professional learning communities (PLCs), to sustain engagement.
- Foster environments of inclusivity and collaboration to enhance staff engagement. Build structures for continuous improvement and resilience, ensuring teacher retention and improved institutional performance.

Arabic references:

- Abu Dhabi Education Council (ADEC). (2016). Annual report on educational transformation in Abu Dhabi. ADEC Publications.
- Al Balushi, A., & Griffiths, D. (2013). National identity and educational reform: A case study of schools in Oman. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 4(5), 85–94.
- Ministry of Education, Saudi Arabia. (2019). Vision 2030: A journey towards transformative educational reform. Ministry of Education Publications.
- Romanowski, M. H., & Amatullah, T. (2014). Understanding leadership in Qatar's independent schools: Teachers' perspectives. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 17(4), 517–535.
- Al-Suwailem, M., & Mohammed, A. (2019). Adaptation strategies in educational leadership amid Saudi educational reforms. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 98, 25-38.
- Bahrain Ministry of Education. (2019). Professional development initiatives in Bahrain's education sector. Ministry of Education Publications.
- Farah, S., et al. (2018). Challenges of teaching in the Middle East. *Journal of Education in the Middle East*, 12(4), 142-159.
- Khalifa, M. A., Gooden, M. A., & Davis, J. E. (2016). Culturally responsive school leadership: A synthesis of the literature. *Review of Educational Research*, 86(4), 1272-1311.
- Salama, A. M. (2019). Well-Being and the Built Environment: A Middle Eastern Perspective.
- Shuayb, M. (2016). Education for social cohesion in Jordan: A critical analysis of education policy. Springer.
- United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). (2020). Education in the Arab States: Collaborative Practices for Development.

Foreign references:

- Argyris, C., & Schön, D. A. (1974). *Theory in practice: Increasing professional effectiveness*. Jossey-Bass.
 - Avalos, B. (2011). Teacher professional development in Teaching and Teacher Education over ten years. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 27(1), 10-20.
 - Avolio, B. J., & Bass, B. M. (2004). *Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire Manual and Sampler Set* (3rd ed.). Mind Garden, Inc.
 - Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2008). Towards a model of work engagement. *Career Development International*, 13(3), 209-223.
 - Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W. H. Freeman.
 - Banks, J. A. (2015). *Cultural diversity and education: Foundations, curriculum, and teaching*. Routledge.
 - Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*. Free Press.
 - Begley, P. T. (2001). In pursuit of authentic school leadership practices. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 4(4), 353-365.
 - Bishop, R., Berryman, M., Tiakiwai, S., & Richardson, C. (2007). *Te Kotahitanga: Improving the educational achievement of Māori students in mainstream education*. Ministry of Education, New Zealand.
 - Blanchard, K. H., Zigarmi, D., & Nelson, R. B. (1993). Situational Leadership® after 25 years: A retrospective. *Journal of Leadership Studies*, 1(1), 21–36.
 - Bogler, R., & Somech, A. (2004). Influence of teacher empowerment on teachers' organizational commitment, professional commitment and organizational citizenship behavior in schools. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 20(3), 277–289.
 - Bolden, R. (2011). Distributed leadership in organizations: A review of theory and research. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 13(3), 251-269.
 - Borko, H. (2004). Professional development and teacher learning: Mapping the terrain. *Educational Researcher*, 33(8), 3-15.
-

- Bryk, A. S., Sebring, P. B., Allensworth, E., Luppescu, S., & Easton, J. Q. (2010). *Organizing schools for improvement: Lessons from Chicago*. University of Chicago Press.
 - Bush, T. (2020). *Theories of Educational Leadership and Management* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
 - Campbell, C. (2017). *The state of educators' professional learning in Canada*. Learning Forward.
 - Carmeli, A., Brueller, D., & Dutton, J. E. (2009). Learning behaviours in the workplace: The role of high-quality interpersonal relationships and psychological safety. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*, 26(1), 81-98.
 - Christian, M. S., Garza, A. S., & Slaughter, J. E. (2011). Work engagement: A quantitative review and test of its relations with task and contextual performance. *Personnel Psychology*, 64(1), 89-136.
 - Cordingley, P., Higgins, S., Greany, T., Buckler, N., Coles-Jordan, D., Crisp, B., & Coe, R. (2015). *Developing great teaching: Lessons from the international reviews into effective professional development*. Teacher Development Trust.
 - Darling-Hammond, L., et al. (2020). *Social and emotional learning in schools*. Learning Policy Institute.
 - Day, C., Gu, Q., & Sammons, P. (2016). The impact of leadership on student outcomes: How successful school leaders use transformational strategies. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 52(2), 221–258.
 - Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227–268.
 - Desimone, L. M. (2009). Improving impact studies of teachers' professional development: Toward better conceptualizations and measures. *Educational Researcher*, 38(3), 181-199.
 - Edmondson, A. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(2), 350-383.
-

- Epstein, J. L. (2011). *School, family, and community partnerships: Preparing educators and improving schools*. Routledge.
 - Fernet, C., et al. (2012). The impact of work motivation on burnout and commitment. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 104(2), 514-527.
 - Fredricks, J. A., Blumenfeld, P. C., & Paris, A. H. (2004). School engagement: Potential of the concept, state of the evidence. *Review of Educational Research*, 74(1), 59-109.
 - Fullan, M. (2007). *The new meaning of educational change* (4th ed.). Teachers College Press.
 - Fullan, M. (2014). *The Principal: Three Keys to Maximizing Impact*. Jossey-Bass.
 - Gay, G. (2018). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice* (3rd ed.). Teachers College Press.
 - Glickman, C. D., Gordon, S. P., & Ross-Gordon, J. M. (2013). *Supervision and instructional leadership: A developmental approach*. Pearson.
 - Goleman, D., Boyatzis, R., & McKee, A. (2013). *Primal Leadership: Unleashing the power of emotional intelligence*. Harvard Business Review Press.
 - Gronn, P. (2008). The future of distributed leadership. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 46(2), 141-158.
 - Hakanen, J. J., Bakker, A. B., & Schaufeli, W. B. (2006). Burnout and work engagement among teachers. *Journal of School Psychology*, 43(6), 495-513.
 - Hallinger, P. (2003). Leading educational change: Reflections on the practice of instructional and transformational leadership. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 33(3), 329–352.
 - Harris, A. (2008). *Distributed school leadership: Developing tomorrow's leaders*.
 - Heifetz, R., Grashow, A., & Linsky, M. (2009). *The practice of adaptive leadership: Tools and tactics for changing your organization and the world*. Harvard Business Press.
-

- Henderson, A. T., & Mapp, K. L. (2002). A new wave of evidence: The impact of school, family, and community connections on student achievement. SEDL.
 - Hersey, P., & Blanchard, K. H. (1969). Life cycle theory of leadership. *Training and Development Journal*, 23(5), 26–34.
 - Hobson, A. J., Ashby, P., Malderez, A., & Tomlinson, P. D. (2009). Mentoring beginning teachers: What we know and what we don't. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 25(1), 207-216.
 - Hofstede Insights. (2023). Cultural Dimensions and Their Impact on Organizational Practices.
 - Ingersoll, R. M., & Merrill, L. (2013). Seven trends: The transformation of the teaching force. Consortium for Policy Research in Education.
 - International Baccalaureate Organization. (2018). Global Education Practices in Diverse Cultures.
 - Ivancevich, J. M., Konopaske, R., & Matteson, M. T. (2014). Organizational behavior and management. McGraw-Hill Education.
 - Johnson, S. M. (2003). Finders and Keepers: Helping New Teachers Survive and Thrive in Our Schools. Jossey-Bass.
 - Kahn, W. A. (1990). Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at work. *Academy of Management Journal*, 33(4), 692-724.
 - King, M. B., & Newmann, F. M. (2001). Building school capacity through professional development: Conceptual and empirical considerations. *The International Journal of Educational Management*, 15(2), 86-93.
 - Knight, J. (2007). Instructional coaching: A partnership approach to improving instruction. Corwin Press.
 - Kotter, J. P. (1996). Leading Change. Harvard Business Review Press.
 - Kraft, M. A., & Papay, J. P. (2014). Can professional environments in schools promote teacher development? Explaining heterogeneity in returns to teaching experience. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 36(4), 476-500.
-

- Ladson-Billings, G. (1995). Toward a theory of culturally relevant pedagogy. *American Educational Research Journal*, 32(3), 465–491.
 - Leithwood, K., & Sun, J. (2012). The nature and effects of transformational school leadership: A meta-analytic review of unpublished research. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 48(3), 387-423.
 - Leithwood, K., Harris, A., & Hopkins, D. (2020). Seven strong claims about successful school leadership revisited. *School Leadership & Management*, 30(1), 1-17.
 - Lewis, C. (2009). What is the nature of knowledge development in lesson study? *Educational Action Research*, 17(1), 95-110.
 - Louis, K. S., et al. (2010). Investigating the links to improved student learning: Final report of research findings. Learning from Leadership Project. University of Minnesota and the University of Toronto.
 - Macey, W. H., & Schneider, B. (2008). The meaning of employee engagement. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 1(1), 3-30.
 - Maslach, C., & Leiter, M. P. (2016). Burnout: A Multidimensional Perspective. In *Professional Burnout* (pp. 33-53). Routledge.
 - Mizala, A., & Schneider, B. R. (2014). Inside school organizations: Learning in high-poverty Chilean elementary schools. *Journal of Educational Change*, 15(4), 453-486.
 - Mulford, B., & Silins, H. (2003). Leadership for organizational learning and improved student outcomes – What do we know? *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 33(2), 175–195.
 - Munthe, E., & Rogne, M. (2015). Teacher education and school-based practices: A research review. *Nordic Studies in Education*, 35(3), 201-213.
 - Naicker, S. R., & Mestry, R. (2013). Teachers' reflections on distributive leadership practices for organizational change. *Education as Change*, 17(sup1), S67-S81.
-

- Ng, P. T. (2019). How Singapore develops teachers. *Educational Leadership*, 76(8), 76-80.
 - Nieto, S. (2017). *Affirming diversity: The sociopolitical context of multicultural education* (6th ed.). Pearson.
 - Northouse, P. G. (2018). *Leadership: Theory and practice* (8th ed.). Sage Publications.
 - Osborne, S. (2013). Culture and leadership in remote Australian schools: A case study of Indigenous perspectives on educational leadership. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 51(5), 638-654.
 - Rich, B. L., Lepine, J. A., & Crawford, E. R. (2010). Job engagement: Antecedents and effects on job performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53(3), 617-635.
 - Rizvi, F., & Lingard, B. (2010). *Globalizing education policy*. Routledge.
 - Robinson, V. M., Lloyd, C., & Rowe, K. J. (2008). The impact of leadership on student outcomes: An analysis of the differential effects of leadership types. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 44(5), 635–674.
 - Ross, J. A., & Gray, P. (2006). School leadership and student achievement: The mediating effects of teacher beliefs. *Canadian Journal of Education*, 29(3), 798–822.
 - Ryan, J. (2006). Inclusive leadership and social justice for schools. *Leadership and Policy in Schools*, 5(1), 3-17.
 - Sahlberg, P. (2011). *Finnish lessons: What can the world learn from educational change in Finland?* Teachers College Press.
 - Saks, A. M. (2006). Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 21(7), 600-619.
 - Schaufeli, W. B., & Bakker, A. B. (2004). Job demands, job resources, and their relationship with burnout and engagement: A multi-sample study. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 25(3), 293-315.
-

- Shuck, B., Reio, T. G., & Rocco, T. S. (2011). Employee engagement: An examination of antecedent and outcome variables. *Human Resource Development International*, 14(4), 427–445.
 - Skaalvik, E. M., & Skaalvik, S. (2017). Motivation and job satisfaction among teachers. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 67, 152-160.
 - Smith, G., Minor, M., Brashen, H., & Remaly, K. (2017). Successful instructional leadership styles in education | *Journal of Instructional Research*, 6, 46-52.
 - Spillane, J. P. (2005). Distributed leadership. *The Educational Forum*, 69(2), 143-150.
 - Thompson, G., & Glasø, L. (2018). Situational leadership theory: A test from a leader-follower congruence approach. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 39(5), 574–591.
 - Timperley, H., Wilson, A., Barrar, H., & Fung, I. (2007). *Teacher professional learning and development: Best evidence synthesis iteration*. Ministry of Education, New Zealand.
 - Tomlinson, C. A., & Imbeau, M. B. (2010). *Leading and managing a differentiated classroom*. ASCD.
 - Tschannen-Moran, M., & Hoy, A. W. (2001). Teacher efficacy: Capturing an elusive construct. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 17(7), 783-805.
 - Vangrieken, K., Dochy, F., Raes, E., & Kyndt, E. (2015). Teacher collaboration: A systematic review. *Educational Research Review*, 15, 17-40.
 - Webster, J., & Jarvis, J. (2020). Teacher professional autonomy and engagement: Lessons from Finland and implications for the United States. *International Journal of Education Policy and Leadership*, 15(2), 1-13.
 - Wilson Heenan, I.; De Paor, D.; Lafferty, N.; Mannix McNamara, P. *The Impact of Transformational School Leadership on School Staff and School Culture in Primary Schools—A Systematic Review of International Literature*.
 - Yukl, G. (2013). *Leadership in organizations* (8th ed.). Pearson.
-

